UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,015	08/04/2003	Christopher L. Hamlin	03-0340	7590
24319 LSI CORPORA	7590 05/20/200 ATION	EXAMINER		
1621 BARBER		KHOSHNOODI, NADIA		
MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA	x 95035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/635,015	HAMLIN, CHRISTOPHER L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NADIA KHOSHNOODI	2137		

	NADIA KHOSHNOODI	2137				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was amount of the Notice. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: Applicant's amendments to claims 1 and 10			ns. For example			
dependent claims 2-5 and 7-9 were originaly reject limitation of claim 6, the scope of claims 2-5 and 7- in light of combination of claims 1 and 6. Claim 10 changes the scope of claims 11-14 and 16-22 sinc claims 10 and 15. Thus, amendments to the claims	ed in light of claim 1, where by ame 9 has been changed. Specifically, has also been amended to incorpo e these claims must now be consid	ending claim 1 to inco these claims now hav orate the limitation of c lered in light of the co	rporate the e to be rejected claim 15 which mbination of			
41.33(a)).		·				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>23-31</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Nadia Khoshnoodi/ Examiner, Art Unit 2137

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080516